

G. R. S IX-5]

Previous references

Revenue

1921.

(*munl*)

File No 55-21

Serial Nos 1174 131,
180/193

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

only
Part B for *April 22*

SUBJECT

*Rules and Bye laws framed by
municipal Councils*

86 131

*To, The Deputy Commr. Mysore
M. A. 80/d 6-7 22
Accords sanction to
Bye laws*

Wf
27

19

37310

C

Submitted

It is not known if the holding
of Shandy has been sanctioned
by Govt

2) The levy of Shandy fees
does not call for any - Govt
in accordance with the holding
the levy of Shandy fees has been
suggested in this case
The municipality simply
submits for sanction

25/3 To the Shandy it amounts
to 1000 - 1000
Subd for expenses

25/3 incl 24/3 on 24/3
The Dy Commr may
be addressed

25/3/22

draft sent up

25/3 21/3

25/3/22

131 To, The Deputy Commr Mysore
No 25907/d & 4. 22

Requests to inform when the
holding of the Shandy was sanctioned

25/3/22

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,
Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Government
P. I. the District of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore

Dated

20th March 1922.

Despatched

21st do

Received

Serial No

6590 L

No 21-22

Enclosure No 3

REV DEPT
22 MAR 1922

Subject.

Submits for sanction the draft
bye-laws framed by the municipal
council of Krishnarajpet regarding
the levy of market fees within the
limits of the municipality.

No. C 890LB *Encls: 3*
21-22

25962

1922
M. L. F. GOVT.
BRANCH

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,

Dated, Mysore, the 20th March 1922.
21

From

P. F. Bowring, Esq., J.P.,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,

Mysore.

ml
55-24
117

To

C. Venkata Rao, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit herewith for sanction of Government the draft bye-laws in English and Kanada framed by the Municipal Council of Krishnarajpet under Section 48(k) of the Mysore Municipal Regulation regarding the levy of market fees within the limits of the municipality, sanctioned in Government order No. L. 1720-7/11.200-19-6 dated the 21st September 1921.

2. The President reports that the bye-laws ^{have} ~~was~~ duly published within the limits of the municipality and that no objections were received in the matter. A copy of the Council's resolution is -- enclosed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[Signature]

Personal Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
(Absent from Feed Quarters)
Mysore District.

[Signature]

Krishnagarahalli Municipality -

~~Order No. 11-24~~

The ~~following~~ by-law ~~has been framed~~
by the Krishnagarahalli Municipal
Council for ~~levying~~ levying market fees.
within the limits of the town of
Krishnagarahalli ^{(as defined in Section 48}
under Section ~~48~~ of the Mysore Municipal Regulation
of the Mysore Municipal Regulation
VII of 1906 as amended by Regulation
II of 1918. and amended by Law No. 80

~~Any inhabitant of the Municipality
objecting to the proposed tax may,
within one month from the date
send his objections in writing
to the President Municipal Council
Krishnagarahalli~~

- (1) The limits of the market for the
levy of the above fees will be the
limits of the Chowdy (500') held
every Wednesday within the limits
of the town of Krishnagarahalli.
- (2) Every person dealing in any
goods within the market limits
will be liable to pay taxes to the

to the Municipality as per rates
mentioned in the Schedule to
annexed hereto —

(3) The Pethsi Mutsaddi is authorised
to collect fees & issue receipts —
The market for shall be levied according
to the following rates: Pethsi Mutsaddi

<u>Name of Shop</u>	<u>Rate</u>
(a) Cart loads, carts and other shops ————— }	0-1-0
(b) Articles kept in a basket for sale —————	0-0-3
(c) Articles brought for sale on horses, bullocks or asses }	0-0-6

asyl.

Signature
For the day

Inspected by
Principal

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय

[illegible]

Ursula

Peter Lamb

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

Section *ml*
File No. *35-21*
Serial No *131*

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. *L 5907*

()
, the 191

Date of issue

8 4-22

To

The Lt. Governor

Signed by

Mr. D. C. S.

Sir,

With reference to your

letter n° C 930 L A 11-12 dated

this 20th March of 22. In connection

for cancellation the draft bill—law

framed by the bill of

Krishnaswami regarding

the levy of market fees

within the limits of the

2nd Municipal body, I am

pleased to request you

0367

intention
to ~~forward on for examination~~ ~~the holding~~
~~of a copy of the~~ ~~questionnaire~~ ~~in~~
~~the~~ ~~questionnaire~~ ~~the holding~~
of the ~~holding~~ in question.
concluded a year to find
for the ~~holding~~ a way of to
be in the ~~holding~~.

I have
31/3/20

for

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

65256

10

54612

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,
Mysore.

To The Secretary to the Government of
I. L. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore.

Dated } 30th May 1922.
Despatched }

Received

General No. 511/2
No. 511/2

Enclosure No. one

1 - JUN 1922

S u b j e c t.

Reporting that the Chandy at
Urichnajaajapet Town is being held
since February 1922.

Under d. a. 1 of sub sec (1) of sec 47
of the Act. but it may charge
such fees fixed by by-law for
the use of a place in a market
& market is under different
from Chandy. In the latter
case Chandy is held only once
in 12 weeks. The section quoted
above does not contemplate
the holding of big fairs in
such cases.

Sub for order

10/6 10/6/22

Has not Section 70 (2) been
re-applied to Minor Municipal
Councils? Are not bye-laws
necessary on this account?

Sec 70(2) operates also in the
minor m. c. In the case of
Chandy, the opinion of the Joint
Advocate was that the expression
market included an open
market place also and bye-laws
for the levy of market fees on all
shops brought into the weekly
market have been passed.
Following this precedent, levy of
fees on big fairs is but a
for approval

10/6 10/6/22

10/6/22

(2 members)

10/6/22

To the Sec. Mysore
No. 280. July 6/22

Sanctions the by-law
passed at

10/6/22

17 JUL 1922
LOCAL GOVT
BRANCH

No. 8904 B / 2-1
21-22

272435
1 JUN 1922
LOCAL SELF GOVT.
BRANCH
From

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, the 20th May 1922.

ml 55-21/80

P. F. Bowring, Esq., J.P.,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District,

M y s o r e.

To

A. V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,

B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L. 5907/11.55-21-181 dated the 8th April 1922 requesting to be informed as to when the holding of the weekly shandy in Krishnarajpet Town was sanctioned by Government, I have the honour to state that it is reported that the Shandy in question is being held since ^{February} 1848 and that the Government Order sanctioning the holding of the shandy is not available.

2. A copy of the order issued by the Amildar of the Taluk to the several Hobli Sheikdars in the matter in accordance with this office order No. 148 dated 18th February 1848 is herewith transmitted for the information of Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

ମୁଗାମ ୧୫୧୧ ଶ୍ରୀ ୫୦ ୧୫୧୧ ମୁଗାମ

X ନିମ୍ନୋକ୍ତ ମୁଗାମ (୧୫)

ଓଡ଼ିଶା ଶ୍ରୀ ମୁଗାମ ମୁଗାମ

Copy 4

ମୁଗାମ

ଓଡ଼ିଶା

୨-୫-୧୨୨

G.R. S VI G 34]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
, the

192

Date of issue

6/7/22

Signed by *[Signature]*

May this be

- i Printed ?
- ii treated as a standing order ?
- iii published in the Gazette
English Part ?
- iv translated ?
- v placed at the disposal of the press ?
- vi published in the Gazette
Kannada Part ?

Section *ml*
File No 55-2/
Serial No 193

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

*Lowered the order of
with letter No 391 of 21-22
dated the 30th May 1922
from the 1st 1st part sub-
-mission for submission of
large-land framed by the
The Maharaja of Mysore
under the 48(1) of the Mysore
land Regulation for the
copy of standing fees within
the limit of the need
Order Thereon No
immediacy*

*Order No 80 of 6/7/22
Sanctioned*

*2) The 1st is required
to send a copy of the
copy to this office for*